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These rules may be amended at any meeting of the committee by a majority vote of those present.

Adopted April 4, 1947.

Opinions requested from the committee and duly answered upon the basis of (1) court decisions, (2) Canons, and (3) Opinions of the American Bar Association committee included the following:

1. Request of a probate judge as to the limits of permitted activity of a lay representative of an estate to conduct probate proceedings.

2. Request as to the propriety of mailing formal announcements of the opening of a law office to a general mailing list, and the limits or propriety in materials included within a formal announcement.

3. Request as to propriety of general news item in a newspaper of the opening of a law office, with inclusion of biographical material and experience in the armed forces.

4. Request as to the propriety of displaying a lawyer's name in his window by neon sign, opinion subsequently confirmed by the American Bar Association Committee.

5. Request as to propriety of circulation of announcement card of a new partnership, bearing photographs of the partners.

6. Request as to propriety of a lawyer resuming private practice after government work mailing out announcements, reciting the type of government work and the type of work he hopes to specialize in.

7. Request by a lawyer, who had accepted employment in defense of a man in a criminal action, as to the propriety of subsequently accepting employment against him in a civil action arising out of the same facts.

This committee prepared for the association office a 3500 word pamphlet on the duties and responsibilities of a notary public and the limits of his authority as such, as a revision and reprint of a similar pamphlet prepared some years ago by this committee, and supplied for mailing to notaries with the notarial commission at the time of issuance. The prepared pamphlet was approved by the Committee on Public Relations.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles H. Richter, Chairman
William P. Harrison, Secretary
Paul C. Thomas
James D. Bain
P. M. Meehl

J. H. Sylvester
Clarence A. Rolliff
Cyrus A. Field
Patrick Ryan

REPORT OF THE PROBATE CODE COMMITTEE

To the Minnesota State Bar Association:

Your Committee reports:

Report

All amendments to the Probate Code approved by the Bar Association at its last annual meeting were set up in the form of bills with the cooperation of Mr. Henderson, Statute Revisor, and were introduced in the Legislature.

It is expected that a majority of them will be enacted into law.

Objections were made to several bills not prepared by the committee. Some of these bills were withdrawn and others were corrected or changed to meet the objections raised. The Committee has not sponsored any bills ex-